

Weighted Polynomial Approximation

H. N. MHASKAR¹

Department of Mathematics, California State University, Los Angeles, California 90032

Communicated by Paul G. Nevai

Received April 24, 1984

DEDICATED TO THE MEMORY OF GÉZA FREUD

The subject of weighted polynomial approximation on the whole real line was the main interest of Professor Freud during the last ten years or so of his life. In this paper we shall survey some aspects of the final stages of his efforts. We do not intend to be exhaustive, however, and thus if we fail to mention certain results here, it does not imply that we consider them uninteresting.

As with many other problems in approximation theory, the subject of weighted approximation goes back to S. N. Bernstein who posed the following problem: Let w be a continuous function on \mathbb{R} such that $x^n w(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Find necessary and sufficient conditions on w for $\{x^n w(x)\}$ to be fundamental in $C_0(\mathbb{R})$. While this problem was investigated in great detail by several mathematicians, (see, e.g., [1, 34, 58] for reviews), the important question of determining the degree of approximation by “weighted polynomials” (i.e., expressions of the form $w(x)P(x)$, where P is a polynomial) was rarely discussed. A notable exception was the work of M. M. Dzrbasyan and his collaborators. Out of historical interest, we note one of their results.

THEOREM 1. [12] *Let $w(x) := \exp(-Q(x))$ where Q is even, non-negative, differentiable on $(0, \infty)$. Further, let $Q(x)/x \uparrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, $Q(p_n) = n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and f be a function which is bounded along with its first s derivatives on \mathbb{R} . Then, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, there is a polynomial P_n of degree at most n such that*

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |w(x)[f(x) - P_n(x)]| \leq c \left(\frac{p_n}{n}\right)^s \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} |f^{(s)}(y)|, \quad (1)$$

where c is a constant depending only on Q and s .

¹ Present address: Department of Mathematics, Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, Ohio 43403.

Dzrbasyan also obtained a converse theorem [10, 11] which, however, does not “match” the above direct theorem to yield an equivalence theorem. Nevertheless, Byrnes and Newman [6] proved that in the case of the weights $\exp(-|x|^\beta)$, where $\beta > 1$, the Theorem 1 is unimprovable. A major drawback in these investigations was that one had to assume that f , or its derivatives are themselves bounded on \mathbb{R} . Writing $g := wf$, it is to be expected that the degree of approximation of g would depend upon the growth of g near $\pm\infty$ and not just on its smoothness. However, the techniques used in the above investigations required the unduly (on hindsight) restrictive condition that gw^{-1} be bounded.

One of the most important contributions which Professor Freud made to the study of weighted approximation was the development of both the direct and converse theorems on weighted approximation (which “match”) for a very general class of weight functions and with only a minimal assumption on the function being approximated. He worked very hard on this problem, “making progress inch-by-inch” to quote him; despite a multitude of personal problems. A lot of beautiful papers came out of this effort and especially the theory of orthogonal polynomials was considerably enriched in the process. We now proceed to state the direct and converse theorems as they stand today. We shall mention only a special case, which in our opinion, is the most completely studied. For the exact assumptions under which various statements are true, the interested reader may consult [24].

We shall assume that $w(x) = w_Q(x) = \exp(-Q(x))$, where Q satisfies the following conditions

- (1) Q is an even function in $C^2(0, \infty)$.
- (2) Q'' is positive and nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$.
- (3) There are constants c and d such that

$$1 \leq c \leq x \frac{Q''(x)}{Q'(x)} \leq d < \infty \quad (x > 0). \tag{2}$$

Examples of such weight functions include $\exp(-|x|^\alpha)$, $\alpha \geq 2$.

Next, let us introduce the expressions which will serve as the moduli of continuity and smoothness. Let $\delta > 0$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $w_Q f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$.

Put

$$Q'_\delta(x) := \min\{\delta^{-1}, (1 + Q'(x)^2)^{1/2}\}, \tag{3}$$

$$\omega_1(p, Q, f, \delta) := \sup_{|t| \leq \delta} \|A_t(w_Q f)\|_p + \delta \|Q'_\delta w_Q f\|_p, \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_2(p, Q, f, \delta) := & \sup_{|t| \leq \delta} \|A_t^2(w_Q f)\|_p + \delta \sup_{|t| \leq \delta} \|Q'_\delta A_t(w_Q f)\|_p \\ & + \delta^2 \|Q_\delta'^2 w_Q f\|_p, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where

$$\Delta_t g(x) := g(x+t) - g(x), \Delta_t^2 g := \Delta_t(\Delta_t g). \quad (6)$$

The expressions which were found suitable as the first and second order moduli of continuity are then defined below in (7), (8), respectively.

$$\Omega_1(p, Q, f, \delta) := \inf_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \omega_1(p, Q, f-a, \delta), \quad (7)$$

$$\Omega_2(p, Q, f, \delta) := \inf_{a, b \in \mathbb{R}} \omega_2(p, Q, f-a-bx, \delta). \quad (8)$$

While we shall elaborate more on these later, let us only note here that the "additional terms" in the definitions of ω_1 and ω_2 serve to measure the growth of $w_Q f$ and its differences near $\pm \infty$; while the inf in (7) and (8) are needed to preserve the obvious elementary properties which one expects from a modulus of continuity.

Next, we introduce the degree of approximation. Let π_n denote the class of all polynomials of degree at most n . Set

$$\varepsilon_n(p, Q, f) := \inf_{P \in \pi_n} \|w_Q(f-P)\|_p. \quad (9)$$

Finally, let q_n be the least positive number such that

$$q_n Q'(q_n) = n. \quad (10)$$

The direct and converse theorems can now be stated as follows:

THEOREM 2. [24] *Let $s \geq 0$, $n \geq 2s$ be natural numbers, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $w_Q f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$.*

(a) *If f is s -times differentiable (i.e., f is an s -times iterated integral of a locally integrable function $f^{(s)}$) and $w_Q f^{(s)} \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ then, for $r = 1, 2$,*

$$\varepsilon_n(p, Q, f) \leq c \left(\frac{q_n}{n}\right)^s \Omega_r\left(p, Q, f^{(s)}, \frac{q_n}{n}\right). \quad (11)$$

(b) *If*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{s-1} q_k^{-s} \varepsilon_k(p, Q, f) < \infty \quad (12)$$

then f is s -times differentiable, $w_Q f^{(s)} \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, and for $r = 1, 2$,

$$\Omega_r\left(p, Q, f^{(s)}, \frac{q_n}{n}\right) \leq c \left\{ \left(\frac{q_n}{n}\right)^r \sum_{k=0}^n k^{r+s-1} q_k^{-s} \varepsilon_k(p, Q, f) + \sum_{k=[n/2]}^{\infty} k^{s-1} q_k^{-s} \varepsilon_k(p, Q, f) \right\}. \quad (13)$$

(c) For $r = 1, 2$,

$$\Omega_r\left(p, Q, f, \frac{q_n}{n}\right) \leq c \left\{ \left(\frac{q_n}{n}\right)^r \sum_{k=0}^n (k+1)^{r-1} q_{k+1}^r \varepsilon_k(p, Q, f) \right\}. \quad (14)$$

In this theorem as well as in others to follow, c, c_1, c_2 would always denote constants independent of the obvious variables, not necessarily having the same value even if they occur twice in the same formula.

We note the following equivalence theorem which follows from Theorem 2:

THEOREM 3. *Suppose $r = 1, 2, 0 < \alpha < r, s \geq 0$, is an integer, $\rho = s + \alpha, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $w_Q f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$. Then the following are equivalent*

- (a) $\Omega_r(p, Q, f^{(s)}, \delta) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^\alpha)$.
- (b) $\varepsilon_n(p, Q, f, \delta) = \mathcal{O}((q_n/n)^\rho)$.

While the theorems are certainly very interesting in their own right; in our opinion, the ideas which Professor Freud developed and which eventually led to these theorems are even more interesting.

One obvious novelty is the introduction of the modified moduli of continuity. It turns out that they are equivalent to certain K -functionals. For an integer $r \geq 1, \delta > 0$ and $w_Q f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let

$$K_{(r)}(p, Q, f, \delta) := \inf \{ \|w_Q(f-g)\|_p + \delta^r \|g^{(r)} w_Q\|_p \}, \quad (15)$$

where the inf is over all r -times differentiable g such that $w_Q g^{(r)} \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$. Then [13, 25], for $r = 1, 2$,

$$K_{(r)}(p, Q, f, \delta) \sim \Omega_r(p, Q, f, \delta), \quad (16)$$

where, as usual, by $A \sim B$ we mean that $cA \leq B \leq c_1A$. As far as we know, this was the first instance when approximation theoretic results were conscientiously obtained first in terms of the K -functionals and then these computed to yield the moduli of continuity. To underline this, we note that while the analogues of Theorems 2 and 3 are known with higher order K -functionals [24], no explicit expressions for these high order K -functionals are known in general (see however, [14]). The idea of using a modified modulus of continuity for special purposes is no longer novel, however. In a way, Dzrbasyan himself used a slightly modified modulus of continuity in his work on weighted approximation [11]. In investigating how the smoothness of a function affects the smoothness of its Fourier transform; we used a modified K -functional and modulus of smoothness analogous to Ω_2 [39]. Freud's ideas directly inspired Ky's works [28, 29] on approximation of functions on $[-1, 1]$ with Jacobi weights. K -functionals

with somewhat more general weights than the Jacobi weights were evaluated by Z. Ditzian [9] while yet another modulus of smoothness was studied by Ivanov [27] and Popov [53] in connection with algebraic polynomial approximation in $L^p[-1, 1]$; although of course, we are not really in a position to assess the extent to which Freud's ideas inspired the last three papers.

In addition to the evaluation of K -functionals, one needs analogues of the well-known Jackson–Favard estimates, Bernstein inequality and a sequence of linear operators providing an almost optimal approximation [8, 5]. The development of these was perhaps the most difficult part of Professor Freud's investigations. The novelty in this work was the use of estimates on orthogonal polynomials and related quantities.

Let for $n = 0, 1, \dots$,

$$p_n(x) := \gamma_n x^n + \dots = \gamma_n \prod_{k=1}^n (x - x_{kn}) \in \pi_n, \tag{17}$$

$$\gamma_n > 0, \quad x_{nn} < x_{n-1,n} < \dots < x_{1n} = X_n,$$

be the system of orthonormal polynomials with respect to w_Q^2 :

$$\int p_n(x) p_m(x) w_Q^2(x) dx = \delta_{nm}. \tag{18}$$

In a series of papers, [15–20] Freud proved the following estimates:

$$\frac{1}{2} q_n \leq \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_n} \leq 2q_n, \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} q_{n-1} \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_k} \leq X_n \leq 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{n+1} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \frac{\gamma_{k-1}}{\gamma_k} \leq 4q_{n-1}, \tag{20}$$

$$w_Q^2(x) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k^2(w_Q^2, x) \leq c \frac{n}{q_n}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{21}$$

$$w_Q^2(x) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [p_k'(w_Q^2, x)]^2 \leq c \left(\frac{n}{q_n}\right)^3 \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{22}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W_Q^2(x) P(x)^2 dx \leq (1 + e^{-cn}) \int_{-cq_n}^{cq_n} w_Q^2(x) P(x)^2 dx, \quad P \in \pi_n. \tag{23}$$

Each of these inequalities has inspired a good deal of further research in the theory of orthogonal polynomials, cf. P. Nevai's review [47]. Using these estimates, certain techniques originally proposed by Carleman [7], and some other standard techniques in analysis such as the use of the duality principle [55], Riesz–Thorin interpolation theorem, etc., Freud was

able to obtain the following results which proved to be central for the theory of weighted approximation.

Let $w_Q f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$,

$$a_k := \int w_Q^2 f p_k dx, \tag{24}$$

$$s_m(f, x) := \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_k p_k(x), \tag{25}$$

$$v_n(f, x) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{m=n+1}^{2n} s_m(f, x), \tag{26}$$

THEOREM 4. [17, 16, 21]. (a) Let $w_Q f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\|w_Q v_n(f)\|_p \leq c \|w_Q f\|_p. \tag{27}$$

Hence, the linear operators v_n satisfy

$$\|w_Q(f - v_n(f))\|_p \leq c \cdot \varepsilon_n(p, Q, f), \tag{28}$$

$$v_n(f) \in \pi_{2n-1}, \quad v_n(P) = P \quad \text{if } P \in \pi_n \tag{29}$$

(b) (Jackson–Favard estimates) Let f be differentiable, $w_Q f' \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\varepsilon_n(p, Q, f) \leq c \frac{q_n}{n} \varepsilon_{n-1}(p, Q, f'). \tag{30}$$

(c) (Bernstein inequality) Let $P \in \pi_n$. Then

$$\|w_Q P'\|_p \leq c \frac{n}{q_n} \|w_Q P\|_p. \tag{31}$$

As it is to be expected, such a major investigation did have quite a few side rewards. We state three theorems. Theorem 5 on the summability of orthonormal polynomial expansions was perhaps the starting point for the general study of weighted approximation. The theorem 6 on the analogue of the Dirichlet–Jordan criterion is directly related to the Jackson–Favard-type estimates; something which we find interesting because the usual proofs for the trigonometric case are quite different. Theorem 7 on Lagrange interpolation involves more or less everything known about weighted approximation until the demise of Professor Freud. We note, however, that what we state is only a special case.

THEOREM 5 [22]. Let $w_Q f \in L^2$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be an L^2 -Lebesgue point of f , i.e.,

$$|h|^{-1} \left| \int_x^{x+h} [f(t) - f(x)]^2 w_Q^2 dt \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |h| \rightarrow 0. \quad (32)$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n |s_m(f, x) - f(x)| = 0. \quad (33)$$

In particular, (33) holds almost everywhere.

THEOREM 6 [23]. Let f be a continuous function on \mathbb{R} which is of bounded variation over every finite segment.

Moreover, let

$$\int w_Q |df| < \infty. \quad (34)$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |w_Q(x)[f(x) - s_n(f, x)]| = 0 \quad (35)$$

uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark. We proved recently [41], that the conditions on f in Theorem 6 are equivalent to the conditions that f be continuous and

$$\Omega_1(1, Q, f, \delta) = \mathcal{O}(\delta). \quad (36)$$

THEOREM 7 [3]. In addition to the conditions on Q already stated, let $\exp(Q(x))$ be an entire function with nonnegative coefficients;

$$\exp(Q(x)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n x^{2n}, \quad A_n \geq 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (37)$$

Suppose f is an absolutely continuous function on \mathbb{R} such that

$$\int w_Q(t) t^n f(t) dt < \infty, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (38)$$

Moreover, let

$$\sup_{|t| \geq x} |t Q'(t)|^{1/2} w_Q(t) |f(t)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (39)$$

Then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} w_Q(x) |s_n(f, x) - L_n(f, x)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{40}$$

where $L_n(f) \in \pi_{n-1}$ is the Lagrange interpolation polynomial for f at the zeros of p_n :

$$L_n(f, x_{kn}) = f(x_{kn}), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \tag{41}$$

Needless to say, Freud's ideas have a considerable influence on the later work by his students such as Nevai [26, 32, 33, 48–52], Mhaskar [35–46], Bonan [4], and Al-Jarrah [2], as well as others such as Ullman [56, 57]. In recent years, the interest in weighted approximation and related questions is rapidly increasing. Thus, for example, Lubinsky [30] studies geometric convergence of quadrature formulae, Zalik [59–61] studies analogues and extensions of the Bernstein inequality and the inequality (23), as well as weighted Müntz-type approximation, Rahmanov [54] studies the convergence of orthogonal polynomial series in the complex domain. Moreover, questions concerning the best constants are also being studied. For example, using (21) and (23), we obtained in [35] the following Nikolskii-type inequalities:

THEOREM 8. *Let $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty, P \in \pi_n$. Then*

$$\|w_Q P\|_r \leq c \left(\frac{n}{q_n}\right)^{|(1/p) - (1/r)|} \|w_Q P\|_p. \tag{42}$$

Markett [31] has recently proved (although apparently unaware of our work) that in the case of the Hermite weight, $w_Q(x) = \exp(-x^2/2)$, the exponent $|(1/p) - (1/r)|$ is the best possible.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to thank Paul Nevai for inviting me to pay this homage to my beloved teacher.

Note added in proof. A great deal of progress has been made in the theory of weighted approximation since this survey was written. In particular, Professor V. Totik has kindly informed me the following:

- (1) The K -functionals of all orders have been computed.
- (2) Bernstein-type inequalities as well as Favard-type estimates are now known for more general weights, including $\exp(-|x|^\alpha)$, $\alpha > 0$.
- (3) Nikolskii-type inequalities have been proved to be exact, at least for $\exp(-|x|^\alpha)$, $\alpha > 0$.

In addition, Nikolskii-type inequalities have been extended to other weights and exponents; and a fairly detailed asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials with respect to $\exp(-x^4)$ and $\exp(-x^6)$ is known. A somewhat technical, but potentially very important progress is that every very strongly regular weight function is equivalent to another which also satisfies the conditions of Theorem 7.

Among the principal contributors are S. Bonan, Z. Ditzian, D. S. Lubinsky, A. Maté, H. N. Mhaskar, P. Nevai, E. B. Saff, V. Totik, J. L. Ullman and R. A. Zalik.

REFERENCES

1. N. I. AHIEZER, On the weighted approximation of continuous functions by polynomials on the entire real axis; *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk (N.S.)* **11** (4) (1956), (70), 3–43 (*Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. Ser. 2* **22** (1962), 95–137).
2. R. ALJARRAH, Error estimates for Gauss–Jacobi formulae with weights having the whole real line as their support, *J. Approx. Theory* **30** (1980), 309–314.
3. R. BOJANIC AND G. FREUD, Weighted polynomial approximation and interpolation on the real line, manuscript.
4. S. S. BONAN, Applications of G. Freud’s theory, I, in “Approximation Theory IV” (C. K. Chui *et al.*, Eds.), pp. 347–351, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
5. P. L. BUTZER AND K. SCHERER, Approximation theorems for sequences of commutative operators in Banach spaces, in “Proc. Int. Conf. on Constructive Function Theory,” pp. 137–146, Varna, 1970.
6. J. S. BYRNES AND D. J. NEWMAN, A lower Jackson bound on $(-\infty, \infty)$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **26** (1970), 71–72.
7. T. CARLEMAN, A theorem concerning Fourier series, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **21** (1923), 483–492.
8. R. DE VORE, Degree of approximation, in “Approximation Theory, II” (Lorentz *et al.* Eds.), pp. 117–161, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
9. Z. DITZIAN, An interpolation of $L_p[a, b]$ and weighted Sobolev spaces *Pacific J. Math.* **99** (1980), 307–323.
10. M. M. DZRBASYAN, On weighted best polynomial approximation on the whole real axis, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **84** (1952), 1123–1126.
11. M. M. DZRBASYAN, Some questions of the theory of weighted polynomial approximations in a complex domain, *Mat. Sb.* **36** (78) (1955), 353–440.
12. M. M. DZRBASYAN AND A. B. TAVADYAN, On weighted uniform approximation by polynomials of functions of several variables, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **43** (85) (1957), 227–256.
13. G. FREUD, Weighted polynomial approximation and K -functionals, in “Theory of Approximation and Applications” (Law and Sahney, Eds.), pp. 9–23, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
14. G. FREUD, On direct and converse theorems in the theory of weighted polynomial approximation, *Math. Z.* **126** (1972), 123–134.
15. G. FREUD, On the greatest zero of an orthogonal polynomial, *J. Approx. Theory* **46** (1986), 16–24.
16. G. FREUD, Markov–Bernstein-type inequalities and their applications, *J. Approx. Theory* **19** (1977), 22–37.
17. G. FREUD, On polynomial approximation with respect to general weights, in “Lecture Notes,” Vol. 399 (H. G. Garnir *et al.* Eds.), pp. 149–179, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1974.
18. G. FREUD, On the theory of one-sided weighted \mathcal{L}^1 -approximation by polynomials, in

- "Approximation Theory and Functional Analysis" (P. L. Butzer *et al.*, Eds.), pp. 285–303, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1974.
19. G. FREUD, Estimations of the greatest zeros of orthogonal polynomials, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **25** (1974), 99–107.
 20. G. FREUD, On the greatest zero of an orthogonal polynomial, II, *Acta Math. Sci. Hungar.* **36** (1974) 49–54.
 21. G. FREUD, Markov–Bernstein-type inequalities in $L^p(-\infty, \infty)$; in *Approximation Theory II* (G. G. Lorentz *et al.*, Eds.), pp.369–377, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
 22. G. FREUD, On the extension of the Fejer–Lebesgue theorem to orthogonal polynomial series, in "Iliev Festschrift," pp. 257–265, Sofia, 1975.
 23. G. FREUD, Extension of the Dirichlet–Jordan criterion to a general class of orthogonal polynomial expansions, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **25** (1974), 109–122.
 24. G. FREUD AND H. N. MHASKAR, Weighted polynomial approximation in rearrangement invariant Banach function spaces on the whole real line, *Indian J. Math.* **22** (3) (1980), 209–224.
 25. G. FREUD AND H. N. MHASKAR, K -functionals and moduli of continuity in weighted polynomial approximation, *Ark. Mat.* **21** (1983), 145–161.
 26. G. FREUD AND P. NEVAI, Weighted L_1 and one-sided weighted L_1 polynomial approximation on the real axis *Magyar Tud. Akad. III. Oszt. Kozl.* **21** (1973), 485–502. [Hungarian]
 27. K. G. IVANOV, Direct and converse theorems for the best algebraic approximation in $C[-1, 1]$ and $L_p[-1, 1]$, *C. R. Acad. Bulgar. Sci.* **33** (1980), 1309–1312.
 28. N. X. KY, On Jackson and Bernstein-type approximation theorems in the case of approximation by algebraic polynomials in L_p -spaces, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **9** (1974), 405–415.
 29. N. X. KY, On weighted polynomial approximation with a weight $(1-x)^{\alpha/2}(1+x)^{\beta/2}$ in L_2 -spaces, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **27** (1976), 101–107.
 30. D. S. LUBINSKY, Geometric convergence of Lagrangian interpolation and numerical integration rules over unbounded contours and intervals, *J. Approx. Theory* **39** (1983), 338–360.
 31. C. MARKETT, Nikolskii-type inequalities for Laguerre and Hermite expansions, manuscript.
 32. A. MÁTÉ AND P. NEVAI, Asymptotics for solutions of smooth recurrence equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, in press.
 33. A. MÁTÉ, P. NEVAI, AND T. ZASLAVSKY, Asymptotic expansions of ratios of coefficients of orthogonal polynomials with exponential weights, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, in press.
 34. S. N. MERGELYAN, Weighted approximations by polynomials, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk. (N.S.)* **11** (5) (1956), (71), 107–152; *Amer. Math. Soc. Trans.* **10**(2) (1958), 59–106.
 35. H. N. MHASKAR, Weighted analogues of Nikolskii-type inequalities and their applications, in "Proc. Conf. on Harmonic Analysis in Honor of A. Zygmund" (Becker *et al.*, Eds.), Vol. II, pp. 783–801, Wadsworth International, Belmont, 1983.
 36. H. N. MHASKAR, Weighted polynomial approximation of entire functions, I, *J. Approx. Theory* **35** (1982), 203–213.
 37. H. N. MHASKAR, Weighted polynomial approximation of entire functions, II, *J. Approx. Theory* **33** (1981), 59–68.
 38. H. N. MHASKAR, On the domain of convergence of expansions in polynomials orthogonal with respect to general weight functions on the whole real line, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **44** (3-4) (1984), 223–227.
 39. H. N. MHASKAR, On the smoothness of Fourier transform, in "Interpolation Spaces and Allied Topics in Analysis" (M. Cwikel and J. Peetre, Eds.), Lecture Notes, Vol. 1070, pp. 202–207, Springer Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1984.

40. H. N. MHASKAR, Trace theorems for caloric functions, to appear in *Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, in press.
41. H. N. MHASKAR, Extensions of the Dirichlet–Jordan convergence criterion to a general class of orthogonal polynomial expansions, *J. Approx. Theory* **42** (1984), 138–148.
42. H. N. MHASKAR, AND E. B. SAFF, Extremal problems associated with polynomials with exponential weights, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **285** (1984), 203–234.
43. H. N. MHASKAR AND E. B. SAFF, Extremal problems for polynomials with Laguerre weights; in *Approximation Theory IV*, pp. 619–624 (Chui *et al.*, Eds.) Academic Press, New York, 1983.
44. H. N. MHASKAR AND E. B. SAFF, Polynomials with Laguerre weights in L^p , in “Rational Approximation and Interpolation,” Lecture Notes, Vol. 1105 (Graves–Morris *et al.*, Eds.), pp. 511–522, Springer Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1984.
45. H. N. MHASKAR, AND E. B. SAFF, Weighted polynomials on finite and infinite intervals; a unified approach; *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* in press.
46. H. N. MHASKAR, AND E. B. SAFF, Where does the sup norm of a weighted polynomial live? (A generalization of incomplete polynomials), *Constructive Approx.* **1** (1985), 71–91.
47. P. NEVAI AND G. FREUD, Orthogonal polynomials and Christoffel functions, manuscript.
48. P. NEVAI, On an inequality of G. Freud, *Ann. Univ. Budapest R. Eötvös, Sec. Math.* **16** (1973), 87–92. [Russian]
49. P. NEVAI, Some properties of orthogonal polynomials corresponding to the weight $(1 + x^{2k})^\alpha \exp(-x^{2k})$ and their application in approximation theory, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **14** (1973), 1116–1119.
50. P. NEVAI, Orthogonal polynomials on the real line associated with the weight $|x|^\alpha \exp(-|x|^\beta)$, I *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **24** (1973), 335–342. [Russian]
51. P. NEVAI, Orthogonal polynomials associated with $\exp(-x^4)$, in “Second Edmonton Conference on Approximation Theory, Canadian Math. Soc. Conference Proc.,” Vol. 3, pp. 263–285, 1983.
52. P. NEVAI, Asymptotics for Orthogonal Polynomials Associated with $\exp(-x^4)$, *Siam J. Math. Anal.*, in press.
53. V. POPOV, On the one-sided approximation of multivariate functions, in “Approximation Theory,” IV (Chui *et al.*, Eds.), pp. 657–661, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
54. E. A. RAHMANOV, On asymptotic properties of polynomials orthogonal on the real axis, *Math. USSR Sb.* **47** (1984), 155–193.
55. I. M. SINGER, “Best Approximation in Normed Linear Spaces by Elements of Linear Subspaces,” Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
56. J. L. ULLMAN, Orthogonal polynomials associated with the infinite interval, in “Approximation Theory III” (E.W. Cheney, Ed.) pp. 889–895, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
57. J. L. ULLMAN, Orthogonal polynomials associated with an infinite interval, *Michigan Math. J.* **27** (1980), 353–363.
58. K. R. UNNI, “Lectures on Bernstein Approximation Problem, Seminar in Analysis,” Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Madras, India, Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India, 1967–1968.
59. R. A. ZALIK, Weighted polynomial approximation on unbounded intervals, *J. Approx. Theory* **28** (1980), 113–119.
60. R. A. ZALIK, Inequalities for weighted polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory* **37** (1983), 137–146.
61. R. A. ZALIK, Some weighted polynomial inequalities, *J. Approx. Theory* **41** (1984), 39–50.